

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/931,492	08/16/2001	Thomas J. Colson	IPCP:107_US_	IPCP:107_US_ 4008	
24041	7590 06/08/2004		EXAMINER		
SIMPSON & SIMPSON, PLLC			WINTER, JOHN M		
5555 MAIN STREET WILLIAMSVILLE, NY 14221-5406			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3621		
			DATE MAILED: 06/08/2004	DATE MAILED: 06/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>- 9-</del>			
Office Action Summary		09/931,492	COLSON ET AL.	L			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		John M Winter	3621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comn D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status							
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A.  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro		erits is			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)	Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-10,14-16,19-32,36-38 and 41-44 is/ Claim(s) 11-13,17,18,33-35,39 and 40 is/are o Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  tion Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration.  Vare rejected.  bjected to.  r election requirement.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority document:  Certified copies of the priority document:  Copies of the certified copies of the priority document:  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	age			
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 1/14/02,3/20/03.	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	52)			

Art Unit: 3621

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-44 have been examined.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10, 14-16,19-32,36-38, and 41-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rivette et al. (US Patent 6,339,767) in view of Donner (US Patent 6,154,725).

As per claim 1,

Rivette et al. ('767) discloses a method of publishing a product document for a client for the purpose of disclosing information about a product to establish a bar to patentability of inventions practiced in said product, said method comprising the steps of:

providing a searchable document database and a publication Web site in communication with said document database;(Figure 3)

Rivette et al. ('767) does not explicitly disclose "electronically receiving said product document transmitted by a client's computer', and publishing said client document by adding said client document to said document database", Donner ('725) discloses "electronically receiving said product document transmitted by a client's computer, (Figure 1) and publishing said client document by adding said client document to said document database". (Figure 2) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Rivette et al. ('767) method with the Donner ('725) method in order in order to decrease the latency in document processing by utilizing electronic transmission means.

Claim 23 is in parallel with claim 1 and rejected for the same reasons.

As per claim 2,

Rivette et al. ('767) discloses the method of claim 1,

wherein said product document and one or more attachment files said product document comprises a primary document and one or more attached files.(Figure 2)

Claim 24 is in parallel with claim 2 and rejected for the same reasons.

As per claim 3,

Art Unit: 3621

Rivette et al. ('767) discloses the method of claim 1, wherein said attachment files include a sample deposition statement. (Column 18, lines 28-30)

Claim 25 is in parallel with claim 3 and rejected for the same reasons.

As per claim 4,

Rivette et al. ('767) discloses the method of claim 2, wherein said attachment files include an image of a sales brochure. (Figure 2)

Claim 26 is in parallel with claim 4 and rejected for the same reasons.

As per claim 5,

Rivette et al. ('767) discloses the method of claim 2, wherein said attachment files include an image of a purchase order.(Figure 2)

Claim 27 is in parallel with claim 5 and rejected for the same reasons.

As per claim 6,

Rivette et al. ('767) discloses the method of claim 2, wherein said attachment files include an image of a sales invoice.(Figure 2)

Claim 28 is in parallel with claim 6 and rejected for the same reasons.

As per claim 7,

Rivette et al. ('767) discloses the method of claim 2, wherein said attachment files include an image of a commercial Web site page. (Figure 2)

Claim 29 is in parallel with claim 7 and rejected for the same reasons.

As per claim 8,

Rivette et al. ('767) discloses the method of claim 2, wherein said attachment files include an image of a printed advertisement. (Figure 2) Claim 30 is in parallel with claim 8 and rejected for the same reasons.

As per claim 9,

Rivette et al. ('767) discloses the method of claim 2, wherein said attachment files include a video clip.(Column 26, lines 9-16; Figure 2) Claim 31 is in parallel with claim 9 and rejected for the same reasons.

As per claim 10,

Rivette et al. ('767) discloses the method of claim 2, wherein said attachment files include an audio clip.(Column 26, lines 9-16; Figure 2)

Page 4

Art Unit: 3621

Claim 32 is in parallel with claim 10 and rejected for the same reasons.

As per claim 14,

Rivette et al. ('767) discloses the method of claim 2,

Official Notice is taken that "charging said client a fee for adding said product document to said database" is common and well known in prior art in reference to data management. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to charge a fee for adding a document to the database in order to raise revenue to maintain the upkeep of the database

Claim 36 is in parallel with claim 14 and rejected for the same reasons.

As per claim 15,

Rivette et al. ('767) discloses the method of claim 2,

Official Notice is taken that "fee is paid before said product document is added to said database" is common and well known in prior art in reference to data management. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to charge a fee prior to adding a document to the database in order to raise revenue to maintain the upkeep of the database

Claim 37 is in parallel with claim 15 and rejected for the same reasons.

As per claim 16,

Rivette et al. ('767) discloses the method of claim 1,

further comprising the step of recording the number of times said product document is accessed by end users.(Column 36, lines 21-25)

Claim 38 is in parallel with claim 16 and rejected for the same reasons.

As per claim 19,

Rivette et al. ('767) discloses the method of claim 1,

further comprising the step of collecting and adding bibliographic data to said product document.(Column 18, lines 49-66)

Claim 41 is in parallel with claim 19 and rejected for the same reasons.

As per claim 20,

Rivette et al. ('767) discloses the method of claim 1,

wherein said product document is transmitted by said client's computer via one of a plurality of transfer protocols determined by said client. (Column 15, lines 2-3; Figure 3)

Claim 42 is in parallel with claim 20 and rejected for the same reasons.

As per claim 21,

Rivette et al. ('767) discloses the method of claim 20,

Art Unit: 3621

wherein said plurality of transfer protocols includes the HTTP and FTP.(Column 15, lines 2-3; Figure 3)

Claim 43 is in parallel with claim 21 and rejected for the same reasons.

As per claim 22,

Rivette et al. ('767) discloses the method of claim 1,

wherein said product document is published on a restricted basis for access only by users and user groups selected by said client. (Column 36, lines 27-38)

Claim 44 is in parallel with claim 22 and rejected for the same reasons.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11-13, 17, 18, 33-35, 39 and 40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and complying with double patenting statutes.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

Examiners note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M Winter whose telephone number is (703) 305-3971. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6, 1st Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James P Trammell can be reached on (703)305-9768. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Art Unit: 3621

**JMW** 

May 30, 2004

JAMES P PRAMMELL
SUPERVISORY RATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600